

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,732	03/01/2002	Takashi Kitaguchi	220147US2	8415
22850	7590 11/28/2003	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	7
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	3 '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/085,732	KITAGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	1/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		7,000,000,000,000,000				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1190	a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language p	first sentence of the specification o	r in an Application Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	√ 5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/085,732 Page 2

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 and 23-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platzker et al. (USPN 6388654) in view of Tamura (USPN 6084939).

Regarding claims 1, 23-24 and 31, Platzker teaches an image sensor (22) to capture images of a local writing surface (21) continuously into a computer (23). Platzker teaches a projector (24) projecting the computer generated computer display image onto the writing surface (21) interposed with the projected image. See col. 6, lines 35-45 and Fig. 2A. Platzker discloses a local storage device (26) in connection with the arrangement discussed above (Fig. 2A) and indicates that the steps including the projection mechanism are implemented using computer software, resident and operation in the computer device (23). See col. 5, lines 57-61, col. 6, lines 2-5 and Fig. 3. Platzker also teaches a plurality of image processing sites (A though E) interconnected by a communication infrastructure (11), which may be local area network (LAN), Internet or other types of communication channel. See col. 5, lines 17-35 and Fig. 1. However, Platzker does not teach, "a means of an image-pickup part comprising two-dimensionally disposed pixels". Tamura on the other hand teaches an image pickup means including a plurality of pixels arranged in a two-dimensional form. See col. 2, lines 9-21, col. 7, lines 7-21 and Fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,732

Art Unit: 2674

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Platzker's method of processing images to adapt Tamura's image pickup means. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion that the image pickup means is equivalent to the desired image pickup part. The use of an image pickup means helps function an image processing apparatus as taught by Tamura.

Regarding claims 24 and 31, in addition to what has been discusses above, Tamura teaches a driver (62) driving the photodetector array (58) under the control of the image pickup controller (24) and reads out signals from each pixel. See col. 4, lines 52-55.

Regarding claim 2, Tamura teaches an image pick up system in which an X-ray image obtained by X-ray beam transmitted through the object is converted into a visible light image by an image multiplier such that such that the corresponding video image is displayed on a monitor at appropriate resolution. Se col. 1, lines 12-25.

Regarding claim 3, as shown in Fig. 2A, Platzker teaches a projection surface and writing surface being one and the same. See Fig. 2A (21).

Regarding claims 4, 25 and 32, Platzker discloses that a combination resulting from local markings and projections of remote site's markings appear on the local projection surface. See col. 3, lines 18-33.

Regarding claims 5, 26 and 33, Platzker teaches as shown in Fig. 1that each site A, B and C can operate in either receive mode, transmit mode or both simultaneously. See col. 5, lines 21-26.

Regarding claim 6, Platzker discloses a calibration process with respect to an image sensor (22) and a writing surface (21). See col. 8, lines 24-42.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 7, Platzker teaches writing surfaces of sites A, B and C as shown in Fig. 1 as [21A] through [21C] as well as projection surface [21D] of site D and monitor [12] of sit E. See col. 6, lines 13-19 and Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 8, Platzker teaches the inputs and output of the image process including the changes in local markings and changes in projections with respect to a writing surface (21).

See col. 10, lines 19-41.

Regarding claim 9, Platzker teaches a calibration algorithm that maybe implemented by projecting predetermined images that include features some which are light intensities. Platzker also teaches calculation of computational parameters with respect to the features, and further teaches technique of projected targets. It would have been obvious that the technique, the calibration of intensities and the calculation can be equivalently used to obtain the desired blocking of a light beam.

Regarding claims 10, 27-28 and 35-36, Platzker teaches the image sensor is optimally focused at each time. Platzker adds that the focusing of projections can be either performed manually to the user's satisfaction or it can be performed automatically. It would have been obvious that one can use the focusing which equivalently provides the desired "shifting of a photography area". See col. 7, lines 58-63. Platzker also teaches that production of composite images that can be created by merging any number of input images. See col. 10, lines 67 and col. 11, lines 1-3.

Regarding claim 11, Platzker teaches that a pixel that is part of the writing in one or more input images will be assigned a non-background color, and elaborates a merging algorithm that applies to a relatively small number of pixels. See col. 11, lines 8-23.

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claims 12, 15-16, 29-30, 34 and 37, Platzker teaches a computer processing the viewed image signals or "frames" representing the images appearing in the viewing field of the local image sensor indicative the markings made on the writing surface. Platzker also teaches changes are detected between successive frames that would lead to compressed representation of changes. See col. 4, lines 24-43.

Regarding claim 13, Tamura teaches moving image photographing instruction of the photographer. See Fig. 7A. Tamura also teaches image construction through combination of the previous contents in the memories Ma1 to Ma4. See col. 12, lies 64-66.

Regarding claim 14, Tamura teaches a display controller for controlling a monitor (30) and the displaying of various characters and images (34). See col. 3, lines 50-63.

2. Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platzker in view of Tamura and in further view of Dreyer et al. (USPN 5504544).

Regarding claims 17-18, Platzker as modified has been discussed above. However,

Platzker does not teach a lighting part illuminating the writing surface from a side as well as

opposite to a side on which the photography part is provided. Dreyer on the other hand teaches
that light is directed in to illuminate one of the two sides of linear prisms before directing toward
an optical window as a collimated beam.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Pulitzer's method of displaying images to adapt Dreyer's illumination technique. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Dreyer that

Application/Control Number: 10/085,732

Art Unit: 2674

the illumination technique equivalently provides the desired illumination of the writing surface.

The use of illumination with respect to linear prisms helps function a liquid crystal display

device with reduced panels as taught by Dreyer.

Regarding claims 19-20, Dreyer teaches a projection system with multiple lamps and

illustrates symmetric Pyrex condenser (29), which forms an elliptical shaped beam (Fig. 8). See

the abstract

Regarding claims 21-22, Dreyer discloses the integration of light from multiple sources

such that high chromatic dispersion of the refracting elements is taken into account. See col. 1.

lines 66-70 and col. 2, lines 1-2.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.

The following arts are cited for further reference.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,141,107 to Nishi et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,118,516 to Irie et al.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Abbas Abdulselam whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/085,732

Art Unit: 2674

Page 7

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

November 21, 2003

HICHARD NOZAFE VISORY PATEUT FYLLLUS

TECHTOLOGY CHILL MAN